

Case ID **XB-1074**

| variant TP53 p.R306* | VAF 13% | RD 1,728 | | Tier II-C | DRAFT |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| Patients with solid tume trials with a PD-1 antibo in combination with a V to a VEGFR/PDGFR inh VEGF antibody or anti-E chemotherapy agents. | ors harboring TP53 ina ody, a CHK1 inhibitor, a EGFR inhibitor. Clinical ibitor in combination w EGFR antibody. TP53 ir Additionally, TP53 gerr Syndrome. | activating mutatio PARP inhibitor in I studies suggest vith a HDAC inhibi nactivating mutat mline mutations a | ns match inclusion criteria combination with a WEE1 CRC patients harboring TF tor, while TP53 status may ions are associated with re re associated with the here | o for clinical trials, in inhibitor, and a PAR 253 mutations may 2 not affect response esistance to various editary cancer predis | cluding IP inhibitor be sensitive e to an anti- sposition |
| no approved therapies | | | | | |
| variant TP53 p.S269R | VAF 19% | RD 1,727 | | Tier II-C | |
| Patients with solid tumo trials with a PD-1 antibo in combination with a V to a VEGFR/PDGFR inh VEGF antibody or anti-E chemotherapy agents. A syndrome Li-Fraumeni S | ors harboring TP53 ina ody, a CHK1 inhibitor, a EGFR inhibitor. Clinical ibitor in combination w EGFR antibody. TP53 ir Additionally, TP53 gerr Syndrome. | activating mutatio PARP inhibitor in I studies suggest vith a HDAC inhibi nactivating mutat mline mutations a | ns match inclusion criteria combination with a WEE1 CRC patients harboring TF tor, while TP53 status may ions are associated with re re associated with the here | a for clinical trials, in inhibitor, and a PAR 253 mutations may not affect response esistance to various editary cancer predis | cluding IP inhibitor be sensitive e to an anti- sposition |

no approved therapies

variant

CTNNB1 p.D32Y

Activating mutations in CTNNB1 result in increased β -catenin-dependent transcription. Small molecule WNT/ β -catenin inhibitors are under clinical investigation in patients with solid tumors, including β-catenin/TCF interaction inhibitors or downstream transcriptional coactivator antagonists.

RD 1,746

VAF 50%

no approved therapies

variant present in combination Microsatellite Instability (MSI) - High

One PD-1 antibody (FDA, Health Canada, TGA, TFDA, NCCN), another PD-1 antibody (FDA, Swissmedic, TFDA, NCCN), and a PD-1 antibody in combination with a CTLA-4 antibody (FDA, Swissmedic, TFDA, NCCN) are approved and recommended for certain patients with microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) or mismatch repair deficient (dMMR) colorectal cancer (CRC). MSI-H status may be associated with good prognosis and lack of benefit from certain antimetabolite chemotherapy regimens (NCCN, ESMO). In phase I/II/III clinical studies, patients with MSI-H or dMMR CRC experienced clinical benefit on PD-1 antibodies, PD-L1 antibodies, PD-1 or PD-L1 antibodies in combination with VEGF antibodies, or PD-1 antibodies in combination with CTLA-4 antibodies, RTK inhibitors, EGFR antibodies, or COX inhibitors. In retrospective analyses, patients with MSI-H CRC experienced longer OS compared to MSS CRC patients on triple EGFR antibody/VEGF antibody/chemotherapy. In a retrospective analysis, patients with MSI-H colorectal cancer had longer OS on a VEGF antibody compared to an EGFR antibody in combination with chemotherapy. In additional clinical studies, MSI status was not associated with clinical benefit in patients with CRC treated with PARP inhibitor, EGFR antibody, VEGF antibody, or RTK inhibitor therapy.



Case ID XB-1074 Tier I-B

Tier II-D

Clinical trials from MolecularMatch

DRAFI

Selected trials recruiting: Male, age ≥ 18 within United Kingdom

| NCT02633098 | Phase 2 | <u>NCT04008030</u> | Phase 3 | NCT02563002 | Phase 3 | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| CTNNB1 | | Microsatellite instabili | ty | Microsatellite instabil | ity | |
| A Safety and Effectivene of Pre-operative Artesur Stage II/III Colorectal Ca | ess Study nate in Incer | A Study of Nivolumab Plus Ipilimumab, or In Choice Chemotherapy Treatment of Participa Deficient Mismatch Re (dMMR)/Microsatellit High (MSI-H) Metasta Colorectal Cancer (mG | , Nivolumab vestigator's v for the ants With epair e Instability tic CRC) | Study of Pembrolizun 3475) vs Standard Th Participants With Mic Instability-High (MSI-I Mismatch Repair Defi (dMMR) Stage IV Colo Carcinoma (MK-3475 177/KEYNOTE-177) | nab (MK- erapy in rosatellite H) or cient prectal | |
| FUDRACT2017- | Phase | NCT03656718 | Phase 1 2 | NCT03767348 | Phase 1 2 | |
| 000370-10 | 3 | Microsatellite instabili | tv | Microsatellite instabil | itv | |
| Microsatellite instability | | A Study of Subcutane | A Study of Subcutaneous | | Study of RP1 Monotherapy and | |
| Avelumab plus fluoropyrimidine- based chemotherapy as adjuvant treatment for stage III dMMR or POLE exonuclease domain mutant colon cancer: A phase III randomised study. | | Nivolumab Monotherapy With or Without Recombinant Human Hyaluronidase PH20 (rHuPH20) | | RP1 in Combination With Nivolumab | | |
| NCT03386721 | Phase 2 | NCT02554812 | Phase 2 | EUDRACT2016- | Phase 1 , | |
| Microsatellite instability | | Microsatellite instabili | ty | 004548-12 | 2 | |
| Basket Study to Evaluat | ethe | A Study Of Avelumab | In | Microsatellite instabil | ity | |
| Therapeutic Activity of R06874281 as a Combi Therapy in Participants Advanced and/or Metas Tumors | nation With static Solid | Combination With Oth Immunotherapies In A Malignancies (JAVELI | ner Cancer Advanced N Medley) | Phase 1/2 Study of R therapies in solid tum | P1 +/- other ours | |
| NCT03742895 | Phase 2 | NCT03907969 | Phase 1, 2 | NCT03829501 | Phase 1, 2 | |
| Microsatellite instability | | Microsatellite instabili | ty | Microsatellite instabil | ity | |
| Efficacy and Safety of Olaparib | | A Clinical Trial to Evalu | A Clinical Trial to Evaluate | | Safety and Efficacy of KY1044 and | |
| Previously Treated, Hom Recombination Repair M (HRRm) or Homologous Recombination Deficient Positive Advanced Canc 7339-002 / LYNK-002) | ologous Autation cy (HRD) er (MK- | AZD7648 Alone and Ir Combination With Oth cancer Agents in Patie Advanced Cancers | ner Anti- ents With | Atezolizumad in Adva | nced Cancer | |
| | | | | | | |

Case ID **XB-1074** Diagnosis Colorectal cancer

| NCT03459222 Phase 1, 2 | NCT03150810 Phase 1, 2 | NCT02758587 Phase 1, 2 |
|--|--|--|
| Microsatellite instability | Microsatellite instability | Microsatellite instability |
| An Investigational Study of Immunotherapy Combinations in Participants With Solid Cancers That Are Advanced or Have Spread | Study to Assess Safety, Tolerability and Clinical Activity of BGB-290 in Combination With Temozolomide (TMZ) in Participants With Locally Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors | Study of FAK (Defactinib) and PD- 1 (Pembrolizumab) Inhibition in Advanced Solid Malignancies (FAK-PD1) |
| NCT02264678 Phase 1, 2 | NCT01968109 Phase 1, 2 | |
| Microsatellite instability | Microsatellite instability | |
| Ascending Doses of Ceralasertib in Combination With Chemotherapy and/or Novel Anti Cancer Agents | An Investigational Immuno- therapy Study to Assess the Safety, Tolerability and Effectiveness of Anti-LAG-3 With and Without Anti-PD-1 in the Treatment of Solid Tumors | |
| | End of findings section | |

NRAS p.G13D missense variant

Variant Oncogenicity

Gain of function

Variant Group NRAS codon 13 mutation NRAS hotspot mutation

Gene clinical summary

Oncogenic NRAS missense mutations are found in cancers, including melanoma, colorectal, and thyroid cancer (PMID: 28666118). Hotspot mutations result in loss of GTPase function and net downstream activation (<u>PMID: 26815308</u>). Germline mutations in NRAS are associated with RASopathies, which confer increased cancer risk (<u>PMID: 28957739</u>). Currently, no effective RAS inhibitors have been developed, however emerging drugs target codon 12 and the hypervariable domain, as well as downstream effectors of RAS and regulators of RAS membrane association and activity (PMID: 25878363). Additionally, studies have reported that NRAS activation leads to resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (PMID: 27279914) and anti-EGFR antibodies (PMID: 24758538).

Variant group clinical summary

Cetuximab and panitumumab are contraindicated and not recommended for patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) harboring NRAS hotspot mutations (FDA, EMA, Health Canada, Swissmedic, TGA, NCCN, ESMO, NICE, eviQ), which is supported by clinical studies (PMID: 20619739)(PMID: <u>23041588)(PMID: 25605843)(PMID: 26989027)(PMID: 26341920).</u>

In a phase II clinical trial, regorafenib treatment resulted in 0% (0/15) 6-month progression free survival (PFS), a 2.2-month median PFS, and a median overall survival of 3.3 months in metastatic colorectal cancer patients with KRAS (n=9), NRAS (n=3) or BRAF (n=2) mutations who failed first line therapy; however, the trial was terminated early due to poor accrual (PMID: 30120161).

In a phase II clinical trial, 2.1% of patients (1/47) with NRAS-mutant solid tumors, excluding melanoma, responded to binimetinib treatment. In this study, NRAS codon 61 mutations were associated with better prognosis compared to NRAS codon 12 and 13 mutations (AACR annual meeting 2020, <u>abstr. CT061</u>). In a phase I trial, 12 of 20 NRAS- and KRAS-mutant CRC patients had stable disease with a RAF inhibitor (<u>PMID: 32182156</u>). Patients with NRAS-mutant solid tumors match inclusion criteria for additional clinical trials, such as trials with inhibitors of ERK (<u>NCT03698994</u>), BRAF (<u>NCT01225536</u>), and MEK, alone (<u>NCT03213691</u>) or in combination with HDM2 (<u>NCT03714958</u>), PI3K (<u>NCT01449058</u>), PI3K/MTOR (<u>NCT01337765</u>), and CDK4/6 inhibitors (<u>NCT02065063</u>). Patients with NRAS hotspot mutations may also match inclusion criteria for trials with BCL2 and MEK inhibitors [(NCT02079740)]((https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02079740) and therapies targeting mutant RAS (NCT03190941)(NCT03745326)

Gene biological summary

NRAS is a member of the RAS GTPase superfamily and is a key regulator of the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway and the downstream PI3K/AKT/MTOR pathway, regulating cell survival, proliferation and migration. RAS proteins cycle between an inactive GDP-bound and an active GTP-bound state in response to receptor tyrosine kinase activation. Downstream effectors are recruited to the membrane and activated by RAS (PMID: 28666118) Important domains in NRAS include the catalytic domain (residues 1-166) and the hypervariable domain (residues 167-189) (PMID: 28597297)

Variant functional summary

NRAS G13D lies within a GTP-binding region of the NRAS protein (UniProt.org). This mutation results in decreased NRAS GTP ase activity, leading to activation of NRAS downstream pathway signaling in cell culture (PMID: 17517660).

Variant group functional summary NRAS codon 13 lies within a GTP-binding region of the NRAS protein (UniProt.org). Missense mutations in codon 13 result in decreased NRAS GTPase activity, leading to activation of NRAS downstream pathway signaling in cell culture (PMID: 17517660).

NRAS hotspot mutation refers to a mutation in codons 12, 13, 59, 61, 117, or 146. Many of these mutations are known to be activating (PMID: 27664710)(PMID: 6092966).

NRAS p.G13D, MSI High

Tier II-C

Variant combination clinical summary

Patients with microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) colorectal cancer harboring NRAS mutations match inclusion criteria for clinical trials, such as a trial with PD-1 antibody (NCT03519412).

Variant combination functional summarv

NRAS hotspot mutation refers to a mutation in codons 12, 13, 59, 61, 117, or 146. Many of these mutations are known to be activating (PMID: 27664710)(PMID: 6092966).

MSI high indicates a high level of microsatellite instability (MSI). Microsatellites are repetitive stretches of DNA that are susceptible to mutation, and MSI is defined as a change in the size of these microsatellites compared to the normal genome. MSI results from a deficient DNA mismatch repair pathway (dMMR) caused by mutations or epigenetic inactivation of genes in the MMR pathway, including MSH2, MSH6, MLH1 and PMS2. MSI been identified in many solid tumor types, and high MSI can be associated with distinct prognosis and therapeutic response, including sensitivity to checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy (PMID: 2974385)(PMID: 26031544).

TP53 p.R306* stop gained variant

Tier II-C

| Variant Group | Variant Oncogenicity | Position (GRCh38) | HGVS | Transcript |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| TP53 inactivating mutation TP53 truncating mutation | Loss of function (predicted) | Pos:7673704 | c.916C>1 p.Arg306* | ENS100000359597.8 |
| | | Change:G>A | | |

Gene clinical summarv

Alterations in TP53 are found in most cancers, including lung, breast, pancreatic, and colorectal cancer (PMID: 27815305) (PMID: 28452926). Tumor suppressor mutations may cause partial, complete, or dominant-negative loss of function, and oncogenic mutations cause gain of function through

Inov Labs

Patient Name

Case ID XB-1074

Diagnosis Colorectal cancer Draft date 10/06/2020 Lab director **Dr. David Douglas** Lab# not specified 5/11



Transcript ENST0000369535.4

Position (GRCh38) Chr:1 Pos:114716123 Change:C>T

HGVS

c.38G>A

p.Gly13Asp

interactions with new partners (<u>PMID: 28948977</u>). Germline TP53 mutations cause Li-Fraumeni syndrome, which confers increased cancer risk (<u>PMID: 28270529</u>). Therapies under preclinical and clinical investigation include drugs that reactivate mutant TP53, block interactions with TP53 inhibitors, and promote mutant TP53 degradation (<u>PMID: 28927521</u>).

Variant group clinical summary

Patients with solid tumors harboring TP53 inactivating mutations match inclusion criteria for clinical trials, including trials with a PD-1 antibody (<u>NCT02432963</u>), a CHK1 inhibitor (<u>NCT02797964</u>), a PARP inhibitor in combination with a WEE1 inhibitor (<u>NCT02576444</u>), and a PARP inhibitor in combination with a VEGFR inhibitor (<u>NCT03645200</u>).

In a phase I trial, the combination of the VEGFR/PDGFR inhibitor pazopanib and the histone deacetylase inhibitor vorinostat resulted in improved progression-free survival and overall survival in metastatic sarcoma and colorectal cancer patients harboring TP53 hotspot mutations, and resulted in a stable disease rate of 83% (5/6), compared to a stable disease rate of 9% (1/11) in patients without detected TP53 mutations (<u>PMID: 25669829</u>). Presence of TP53 mutations in colorectal cancer did not affect response to the anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy in a phase III trial (<u>PMID: 17145525</u>) or response to anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody cetuximab in a clinical study (<u>PMID: 26989027</u>).

Mutations leading to a loss of or a reduction in normal TP53 function have been associated with resistance to various chemotherapy agents (PMID: 27888811), (PMID: 25201193).

Additionally, TP53 germline mutations are associated with the hereditary cancer predisposition syndrome Li-Fraumeni Syndrome (PMID: 26014290), (PMID: 24706533).

Gene biological summary

TP53 is a homotetrameric transcription factor that responds to metabolic and stress signals to direct many functions including cell survival or death. In addition to transcription-level regulation, TP53 interacts with proteins in the cytoplasm to regulate metabolism and apoptosis (<u>PMID: 26122615</u>). Important domains in TP53 include the N-terminal transactivation domain (residues 1-92), the DNA binding domain (residues 101-306), the oligomerization domain (residues 307-355), and the C-terminal regulatory domain (residues 356-393) (<u>PMID: 22713868</u>).

Variant functional summary

TP53 R306* results in the premature truncation of the TP53 protein at amino acid 306 of 393, prior to the TP53 tetramerization domain <u>(PMID: 22713868)</u>. Due to the loss of this functional domain (UniProt), this mutation is predicted to lead to a loss of TP53 function.

Variant group functional summary

TP53 inactivating mutation indicates that variants in this group result in a loss of function of the TP53 protein, which has been verified via biochemical, in vitro, or in vivo assays. Additionally, this group includes variants that are predicted to have a loss of function due to a truncation leading to the disruption or deletion of key functional domains (PMID: 20182602) (PMID: 11401317) (PMID: 27759562).

TP53 truncating mutation indicates any nonsense or frameshift mutation resulting in a premature termination codon. This class of TP53 mutation leads to a loss TP53 tumor suppressive function due to the deletion of key functional domains, and has been reported to promote tumorigenesis and increased activation of downstream target genes (PMID: 27759562) (PMID: 11401317).

TP53 p.S269R missense variant

Tier II-C

Gene clinical summary

Alterations in TP53 are found in most cancers, including lung, breast, pancreatic, and colorectal cancer (<u>PMID: 27815305) (PMID: 28452926</u>). Tumor suppressor mutations may cause partial, complete, or dominant-negative loss of function, and oncogenic mutations cause gain of function through interactions with new partners (<u>PMID: 28948977</u>). Germline TP53 mutations cause Li-Fraumeni syndrome, which confers increased cancer risk (<u>PMID: 28270529</u>). Therapies under preclinical and clinical investigation include drugs that reactivate mutant TP53, block interactions with TP53 inhibitors, and promote mutant TP53 degradation (<u>PMID: 28927521</u>).

Variant group clinical summary

Patients with solid tumors harboring TP53 inactivating mutations match inclusion criteria for clinical trials, including trials with a PD-1 antibody (<u>NCT02432963</u>), a CHK1 inhibitor (<u>NCT02797964</u>), a PARP inhibitor in combination with a WEE1 inhibitor (<u>NCT02576444</u>), and a PARP inhibitor in combination with a VEGFR inhibitor (<u>NCT03645200</u>).

In a phase I trial, the combination of the VEGFR/PDGFR inhibitor pazopanib and the histone deacetylase inhibitor vorinostat resulted in improved progression-free survival and overall survival in metastatic sarcoma and colorectal cancer patients harboring TP53 hotspot mutations, and resulted in a stable disease rate of 83% (5/6), compared to a stable disease rate of 9% (1/11) in patients without detected TP53 mutations (<u>PMID: 25669829</u>). Presence of TP53 mutations in colorectal cancer did not affect response to the anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy in a phase III trial (<u>PMID: 17145525</u>) or response to anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody cetuximab in a clinical study (<u>PMID: 26989027</u>).

Mutations leading to a loss of or a reduction in normal TP53 function have been associated with resistance to various chemotherapy agents (PMID: 27888811), (PMID: 25201193).

Additionally, TP53 germline mutations are associated with the hereditary cancer predisposition syndrome Li-Fraumeni Syndrome (PMID: 26014290), (PMID: 24706533).

Gene biological summary

TP53 is a homotetrameric transcription factor that responds to metabolic and stress signals to direct many functions including cell survival or death. In addition to transcription-level regulation, TP53 interacts with proteins in the cytoplasm to regulate metabolism and apoptosis (<u>PMID: 26122615</u>). Important domains in TP53 include the N-terminal transactivation domain (residues 1-92), the DNA binding domain (residues 101-306), the oligomerization domain (residues 307-355), and the C-terminal regulatory domain (residues 356-393) (<u>PMID: 22713868</u>).

Variant functional summary

Inov Labs

Case ID **XB-1074** Diagnosis Colorectal cancer TP53 S269R lies within the DNA-binding domain and HIPK1, ZNF385A, AXIN1 and E4F1-interacting region of the TP53 protein (UniProt.org). This mutation confers a loss of function to the TP53 protein as demonstrated by impaired promoter-specific transcriptional activities in yeast functional assays (PMID: 12826609).

Variant group functional summary

TP53 inactivating mutation indicates that variants in this group result in a loss of function of the TP53 protein, which has been verified via biochemical, in vitro, or in vivo assays. Additionally, this group includes variants that are predicted to have a loss of function due to a truncation leading to the disruption or deletion of key functional domains (PMID: 20182602) (PMID: 11401317) (PMID: 27759562).

TP53 missense mutations are the most frequent cause of loss of TP53 function and occur predominantly in the DNA-binding domain. This class of mutations is reported to typically lead to decreased transactivation of downstream TP53 gene targets (PMID: 20182602) (PMID: 11401317).

CTNNB1 p.D32Y missense variant

Tier II-D

Gene clinical summary Alterations in CTNNB1 are found in cancers, including colorectal, breast, uterine cancer (PMID: 28731148). Activating missense mutations and small deletions in the N-terminal domain (PMID: 28927523) result in WNT-independent activation of the WNT/ β -catenin signaling pathway and constitutive activation of genes promoting cell growth and division. Emerging drugs under preclinical and clinical investigation inhibit β -catenin protein-protein interactions. WNT signaling inhibitors are currently in clinical trials and drugs targeting the nuclear β -catenin complex and downstream pathways are under preclinical investigation (PMID: 28731148).

Variant group clinical summary

Activating mutations in CTNNB1 result in increased β -catenin-dependent transcription. Small molecule WNT/ β -catenin inhibitors are under clinical investigation in patients with solid tumors, including β -catenin/TCF interaction inhibitors or downstream transcriptional coactivator antagonists (PMID: 28474989)(PMID: 28731148)(NCT01302405).

Gene biological summary

CTNNB1 or β-catenin, is a component of cadherin-based adherens junctions and a transcriptional co-activator in the WNT/β-catenin signaling pathway (<u>PMID: 28731148</u>). Activation of WNT signaling results in translocation of cellular β -catenin to the nucleus and activation of genes regulating cell proliferation, migration, differentiation and survival (<u>PMID: 28752891</u>). Important domains in CTNNB1 include the N-terminal domain (residues 1-151), the C-terminal domain (residues 664-781), and a central armadillo repeat domain (residues 151-664) (PMID: 28927523).

Variant functional summary

CTNNB1 D32Y lies within the ubiquitination recognition motif of the β -catenin protein (<u>PMID: 15064718</u>). CTNNB1 D32Y confers a gain of function to the β -catenin protein as demonstrated by decreased ubiquitination and increased β -catenin-dependent transcription (<u>PMID: 15064718</u>), (<u>PMID:</u> 10987273).

Variant group functional summary

CTNNB1 activating mutations result in a gain of function in the β-catenin protein, leading to increased β-catenin-dependent transcription (PMID: 28731148).

MSI High

Tier I-B

Variant clinical summary

Pembrolizumab (FDA, Health Canada, TGA, TFDA, NCCN), nivolumab (FDA, Swissmedic, TFDA, NCCN), and nivolumab in combination with ipilimumab (FDA, Swissmedic, TFDA, NCCN) are approved and recommended for certain patients with microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) or mismatch repair deficient (dMMR) colorectal cancer (CRC). MSI-H status may be associated with good prognosis and lack of benefit from 5-fluorouracil chemotherapy regimens (NCCN, ESMO) (PMID: 12867608) (PMID: 27432916).

In phase II and III clinical studies, patients with MSI-H or dMMR CRC experienced clinical benefit on pembrolizumab, nivolumab, or the combination or nivolumab and ipilimumab (PMID: 31725351)(NCT02460198)(PMID: 28734759)(PMID: 31147488)(NCT02060188)(PMID: 32251400)(NCT03026140) (J Clin Oncol 38: 2020 (abstr LBA4))(NCT02563002).

In a phase II trials, patients with MSI-H/dMMR CRC (n=22) demonstrated clinical benefit on combined PD-1 antibody (BAT 1306, pembrolizumab or nivolumab) and COX inhibitor (celecoxib or aspirin) therapy, with an overall response rate (ORR) of 83.3% (5 complete responses), a median PFS of 80.7%, and an OS of 91.3% (J Clin Oncol 38(4): 111). In a phase I/II study, patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer had an ORR of 8% (2/26) on cetrelimab (J Clin Oncol 37(8): 31)(NCT02908906). In a phase II study, patients with MSI-H colorectal cancer treated with durvalumab achieved an ORR of 27% (3/11) (J Clin Oncol 37(4): 670)(NCT02227667).

In a phase I study, patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer had an ORR of 43.3% (13/30) on dostarlimab (<u>J Clin Oncol 38 (4): 218)</u> (<u>NCT02715284</u>). In a phase lb clinical study, patients with MSI-H colorectal cancer (n=10) treated with the combination of bevacizumab and atezolizumab had a DCR of 90% and an ORR of 30% (3 partial responses) respectively (J Clin Oncol 35(4): 673)(NCT01633970).

In additional phase I/II/III studies, patients with MSI-H colorectal cancer responded to atezolizumab (PMID: 30918950), atezolizumab and cobimetinib In additional phase (7)(7)(1) studies, patients with MSI-H colorectal cancer responded to atezolizumab (<u>PMID: 30918950</u>), atezolizumab and cobimetinib (<u>PMID: 31003911</u>), TAS-102 and bevacizumab (<u>PMID: 28760399</u>), regorafenib and nivolumab (<u>J Clin Oncol 38(4): 135</u>)(<u>NCT03406871</u>), durvalumab and pexidartinib (<u>J Clin Oncol 37(15): 2579)(NCT02777710</u>), durvalumab and tremelimumab (<u>Cancer Immunol Res 2019;7(2 Suppl):Abstract nr</u> <u>A006)(NCT01975831</u>), or avelumab and cetuximab (<u>J Clin Oncol 38(4): 96</u>). In a retrospective analysis, patients with MSI-H colorectal cancer treated with chemotherapy and bevacizumab (n=21) experienced longer OS (30.0 vs 11.9 months, p<0.001) compared to patients treated with chemotherapy and cetuximab (n=16) (<u>PMID: 30865548</u>). In a clinical study, among patients with MSI-H rectal cancer treated with chemotherapy followed by involved and red icol current patients respective analysis. nivolumab and radical surgery, a pathologic complete response rate of 60% (3/5) was observed (J Clin Oncol 38: 2020 (abstr 4100)).

Inov Labs

Case ID XB-1074 Diagnosis

Colorectal cancer

Draft date 10/06/2020 In retrospective analyses, patients with MSI-H CRC experienced longer OS on triple irinotecan, bevacizumab, and cetuximab/panitumumab therapy and shorter OS and PFS on cetuximab or bevacizumab, compared to patients with MSS CRC (PMID: 31868905)(PMID: 27622042). In additional clinical studies, MSI status was not associated with clinical benefit in patients with CRC treated with olaparib, cetuximab, bevacizumab, or regorafenib (PMID: 28928870)(Annals of Oncology (2017) 28 (5): v158-v208)(PMID: 29805705)(PMID: 26786262).

Variant functional summary

MSI high indicates a high level of microsatellite instability (MSI). Microsatellites are repetitive stretches of DNA that are susceptible to mutation, and MSI is defined as a change in the size of these microsatellites compared to the normal genome. MSI results from a deficient DNA mismatch repair pathway (dMMR) caused by mutations or epigenetic inactivation of genes in the MMR pathway, including MSH2, MSH6, MLH1 and PMS2. MSI been identified in many solid tumor types, and high MSI can be associated with distinct prognosis and therapeutic response, including sensitivity to checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy (PMID: 2974385)(PMID: 26031544).

Variants of Unknown Significance

The variants listed here are not sufficiently characterized in the current literature and variant databases, and are therefore, currently, of uncertain or unknown clinical significance. They are reported here for future reference in the event they become clinically significant in light of additional supporting evidence.

NOTCH3 p.A1802fs PIK3R1 p.R557_K561delinsQ

Appendix

Genomic Regions Tested

We sequence all coding exons for each given transcript, plus approximately 10 basepairs of flanking non-coding DNA in each intron-exon junction. Unless specifically indicated, test results contain no information about other regions of the gene, such as regulatory domains or deep intronic regions. The genes on the panel: ABL1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, APC, AR, ATM, BAP1, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CCND1, CCNE1, CDH1, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CHEK2, CREBBP, CSF1R, CTNNB1, DDR2, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FANCA, FBXW7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT1, FLT3, FLT4, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HNF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KRAS, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MDM2, MDM4, MET, MLH1, MPL, MSH2, MSH6, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NPM1, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK2, PALB2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PIK3R1, PMS2, POLE, PPP2R1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, RAF1, RB1, RET, ROS1, SMAD4, SMARCB1, SMO, SRC, STK11, TERT (including 454 bases upstream of translation start site), TP53, TSC1, TSC2, UGTA1A1, VHL.

LIMIT OF DETECTION: 5 percent variant allele fraction for single nucleotide variants (SNVs), small to medium sized multi-nucleotide variants (MNV) (less than 50bp).

Methodology

Genomic DNA is isolated from microscopically-guided dissection of tumor tissue and then enriched for the targeted regions of the tested genes. The variant status of the targeted genes is determined by massively parallel sequencing (next generation sequencing). The hg38 (GRCh38) reference sequence is used as a reference for identifying genetic variants.

Limitations

This test will not detect variants in areas outside the targeted genomic regions or below the assay's limit of detection. More complex structural variants, such as complex rearrangements, will not be detected. This test evaluates for variants in solid tumor tissue only. It cannot distinguish between somatic and germline variants.

For variants of potential germline origin, germline testing may be warranted. Consider seeking genetic counseling prior to such testing. In some cases, variants may not be identified due to technical limitations, especially when in the presence of known pseudogenes, homologous regions or regions of low mappability. Larger insertions or deletions (>50 basepairs) may not be detected.

Clinical Disclaimers

Interpretation of the test results is limited by the information that is currently available at the time. Treatment decisions are the responsibility of the physician. Results of this test must always be interpreted within the clinical context, such as the patient's conditions, patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests and patient preferences.

The Inov Onco Panel was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Inov Labs.

NAVIFY® Mutation Profiler disclaimer

The information available in this report is obtained from third party sources (such as biomedical literature, medical guidelines, and publicly available data such as drug labels and clinical trials) and is subject to change over time based on future findings (including scientific and medical research).

NAVIFY® Mutation Profiler is not able to differentiate between germline and somatic variants. In general, variant interpretations are provided assuming the variants are of somatic origin.

Inov Labs

Case ID **XB-1074** Diagnosis **Colorectal cancer** Draft date **10/06/2020**

3rd party attributions

A portion of the somatic gene variant annotations and related content have been provided by The Jackson Laboratory Clinical Knowledgebase (JAX-CKB™)

© National Comprehensive Cancer Network 2018, All Rights Reserved. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK®, NCCN®, NCCN GUIDELINES®, NCCN COMPENDIUM®, NCCN TEMPLATES®, NCCN FLASH UPDATES™, NCCN GUIDELINES FOR PATIENTS® and POWERED BY NCCN® are trademarks of National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc.

Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

The NCCN Guidelines® and other content provided by NCCN are works in progress that may be refined as often as new significant data becomes available. They are statements of consensus of its authors regarding their views of currently accepted approaches to treatment. Any clinician seeking to apply or consult any NCCN Guidelines® or other NCCN Content is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine any patient's care or treatment. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Clinical trial matching based on reported biomarkers are provided by MolecularMatch.

Tier definitions

Tier I-A: Approved therapy. Included in professional guidelines.

Tier I-B: Well-powered studies with consensus from experts in the field.

Tier II-C: Approved therapies for different tumor types or investigational therapies. Multiple small published studies with some consensus. Inclusion criteria for clinical trials.

Tier II-D: Limited clinical or preclinical studies.

Tier III (VUS): Variants of Unknown Clinical Significance.

Tier IV: Benign or likely benign variants (not included in the report, except for other biomarkers).

Software and content version numbers

NAVIFY® Mutation Profiler Version 2.0.0.7b4557e, Release date: 09/30/2020

NAVIFY® Therapy Matcher Version 2.0.0.7b4557e, Release date: 09/30/2020

Roche content Version 2.29.0, Release date: 08/18/2020

CIVIC Version 01-july-2020, Release date: 07/01/2020

ClinVAR Version 20200727, Release date: 07/27/2020

COSMIC Version v91.r1, Release date: 04/07/2020

dbNSFP Version 4.0, Release date: 05/03/2019

gnomAD Version 2.1.1-VnV, Release date: 10/16/2019

TCGA Version 24.0.r2, Release date: 05/07/2020

Mitelman Version 15-apr-2020, Release date: 04/15/2020

dbVar Version 2020-06-07, Release date: 06/07/2020

References

[PMID10987273] Truica CI et al (2000 Sep 1) "Beta-catenin affects androgen receptor transcriptional activity and ligand specificity." Cancer Res 60(17): 4709-13.

[PMID11401317] Mousses S et al (2001 Jun 15) "p53 missense but not truncation mutations are associated with low levels of p21(CIP1/WAF1) mRNA expression in primary human sarcomas." Br J Cancer 84(12): 1635-9.

[PMID12826609] Kato S et al (2003 Jul 8) "Understanding the function-structure and function-mutation relationships of p53 tumor suppressor protein by high-resolution missense mutation analysis." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100(14): 8424-9.

[PMID12867608] Ribic CM et al (2003 Jul 17) "Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer." N Engl J Med 349(3): 247-57.

[PMID15064718] Al-Fageeh M et al (2004 Jun 17) "Phosphorylation and ubiquitination of oncogenic mutants of beta-catenin containing substitutions at Asp32." Oncogene 23(28): 4839-46.

Inov Labs

Case ID **XB-1074** Diagnosis Colorectal cancer [PMID17145525] Hochster HS (2006 Oct) "Bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy: first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer." Semin Oncol 33(5 Suppl 10): S8-14.

[PMID17517660] Oliveira JB et al (2007 May 22) "NRAS mutation causes a human autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104(21): 8953-8.

[PMID20182602] Olivier M et al (2010 Jan) "TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use." Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2(1): a001008.

[PMID20619739] De Roock W et al (2010 Aug) "Effects of KRAS, BRAF, NRAS, and PIK3CA mutations on the efficacy of cetuximab plus chemotherapy in chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer: a retrospective consortium analysis." Lancet Oncol 11(8): 753-62.

[PMID22713868] Freed-Pastor WA et al (2012 Jun 15) "Mutant p53: one name, many proteins." Genes Dev 26(12): 1268-86.

[PMID23041588] André T et al (2013 Feb) "Panitumumab combined with irinotecan for patients with KRAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer refractory to standard chemotherapy: a GERCOR efficacy, tolerance, and translational molecular study." Ann Oncol 24(2): 412-9.

[PMID24706533] Kamihara J et al (2014 Jun) "Germline TP53 mutations and the changing landscape of Li-Fraumeni syndrome." Hum Mutat 35(6): 654-62.

[PMID24758538] Meriggi F et al (2014) "The Emerging Role of NRAS Mutations in Colorectal Cancer Patients Selected for Anti-EGFR Therapies." Rev Recent Clin Trials 9(1): 8-12.

[PMID25201193] Tchelebi L et al (2014) "Mutant p53 and the response to chemotherapy and radiation." Subcell Biochem 85: 133-59.

[PMID25605843] Van Cutsem E et al (2015 Mar 1) "Fluorouracil, leucovorin, and irinotecan plus cetuximab treatment and RAS mutations in colorectal cancer." J Clin Oncol 33(7): 692-700.

[PMID25669829] Fu S et al (2015 May) "Phase I study of pazopanib and vorinostat: a therapeutic approach for inhibiting mutant p53-mediated angiogenesis and facilitating mutant p53 degradation." Ann Oncol 26(5): 1012-8.

[PMID25878363] Cox AD et al (2015 Apr 15) "Targeting RAS Membrane Association: Back to the Future for Anti-RAS Drug Discovery?" Clin Cancer Res 21(8): 1819-27.

[PMID26014290] Bougeard G et al (2015 Jul 20) "Revisiting Li-Fraumeni Syndrome From TP53 Mutation Carriers." J Clin Oncol 33(21): 2345-52.

[PMID26031544] Kawakami H et al (2015 Jul) "Microsatellite instability testing and its role in the management of colorectal cancer." Curr Treat Options Oncol 16(7): 30.

[PMID26122615] Kruiswijk F et al (2015 Jul) "p53 in survival, death and metabolic health: a lifeguard with a licence to kill." Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 16(7): 393-405.

[PMID26341920] Peeters M et al (2015 Dec 15) "Analysis of KRAS/NRAS Mutations in a Phase III Study of Panitumumab with FOLFIRI Compared with FOLFIRI Alone as Second-line Treatment for Metastatic Colorectal Cancer." Clin Cancer Res 21(24): 5469-79.

[PMID26786262] Leichman L et al (2016 Feb) "Phase II Study of Olaparib (AZD-2281) After Standard Systemic Therapies for Disseminated Colorectal Cancer." Oncologist 21(2): 172-7.

[PMID26815308] Lu S et al (2016 Jun 8) "Ras Conformational Ensembles, Allostery, and Signaling." Chem Rev 116(11): 6607-65.

[PMID26989027] Hsu HC et al (2016 Apr 19) "Mutations of KRAS/NRAS/BRAF predict cetuximab resistance in metastatic colorectal cancer patients." Oncotarget 7(16): 22257-70.

[PMID27279914] Ma P et al (2016) "Adaptive and Acquired Resistance to EGFR Inhibitors Converge on the MAPK Pathway." Theranostics 6(8): 1232-43.

[PMID27432916] de Rosa N et al (2016 Sep 1) "DNA Mismatch Repair Deficiency in Rectal Cancer: Benchmarking Its Impact on Prognosis, Neoadjuvant Response Prediction, and Clinical Cancer Genetics." J Clin Oncol 34(25): 3039-46.

[PMID27622042] Roselli M et al (2016 Jul) "The association of clinical outcome and peripheral T-cell subsets in metastatic colorectal cancer patients receiving first-line FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab therapy." Oncoimmunology 5(7): e1188243.

[PMID27664710] Grill C et al (2016 Oct) "NRAS, NRAS, Which Mutation Is Fairest of Them All?" J Invest Dermatol 136(10): 1936-1938.

[PMID27759562] Shirole NH et al (2016 Oct 19) "<i>TP53</i> exon-6 truncating mutations produce separation of function isoforms with protumorigenic functions." Elife 5.

[PMID27815305] Silwal-Pandit L et al (2017 Jan 3) "TP53 Mutations in Breast and Ovarian Cancer." Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 7(1).

[PMID27888811] Hientz K et al (2017 Jan 31) "The role of p53 in cancer drug resistance and targeted chemotherapy." Oncotarget 8(5): 8921-8946.

[PMID28270529] Guha T et al (2017 Apr 3) "Inherited <i>TP53</i> Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome." Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 7(4).

[PMID28452926] Cicenas J et al (2017 Apr 28) "KRAS, TP53, CDKN2A, SMAD4, BRCA1, and BRCA2 Mutations in Pancreatic Cancer." Cancers (Basel) 9(5).

[PMID28474989] Yan M et al (2017 Jun) "Discovery of small molecule inhibitors of the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway by targeting β -catenin/Tcf4 interactions." Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 242(11): 1185-1197.

[PMID28597297] Nussinov R et al (2017 Sep) "Intrinsic protein disorder in oncogenic KRAS signaling." Cell Mol Life Sci 74(17): 3245-3261.

[PMID28666118] Simanshu DK et al (2017 Jun 29) "RAS Proteins and Their Regulators in Human Disease." Cell 170(1): 17-33.

[PMID28731148] Katoh M et al (2017 Sep) "Molecular genetics and targeted therapy of WNT-related human diseases (Review)." Int J Mol Med 40(3): 587-606.

[PMID28734759] Overman MJ et al (2017 Sep) "Nivolumab in patients with metastatic DNA mismatch repair-deficient or microsatellite instability-high colorectal cancer (CheckMate 142): an open-label, multicentre, phase 2 study." Lancet Oncol 18(9): 1182-1191.

[PMID28752891] Lyou Y et al (2017 Dec) "Inhibition of nuclear Wnt signalling: challenges of an elusive target for cancer therapy." Br J Pharmacol 174(24): 4589-4599.

[PMID28760399] Kuboki Y et al (2017 Sep) "TAS-102 plus bevacizumab for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer refractory to standard therapies (C-TASK FORCE): an investigator-initiated, open-label, single-arm, multicentre, phase 1/2 study." Lancet Oncol 18(9): 1172-1181.

[PMID28927521] Merkel O et al (2017 Jul) "When the guardian sleeps: Reactivation of the p53 pathway in cancer." Mutat Res 773: 1-13.

[PMID28927523] Dar MS et al (2017 Jul) "Beta-catenin N-terminal domain: An enigmatic region prone to cancer causing mutations." Mutat Res 773: 122-133.

[PMID28928870] Kim ST et al (2017) "The Impact of Microsatellite Instability Status and Sidedness of the Primary Tumor on the Effect of Cetuximab-Containing Chemotherapy in Patients with Metastatic Colorectal Cancer." J Cancer 8(14): 2809-2815.

[PMID28948977] Sabapathy K et al (2018 Jan) "Therapeutic targeting of p53: all mutants are equal, but some mutants are more equal than others." Nat Rev Clin Oncol 15(1): 13-30.

[PMID28957739] Tafazoli A et al (2018 Mar) "Novel mutations and their genotype-phenotype correlations in patients with Noonan syndrome, using next-generation sequencing." Adv Med Sci 63(1): 87-93.

[PMID2974385] Di Mauro S et al (1988 Aug 15) "[Current diagnostic-therapeutic protocols in upper digestive hemorrhage]." Clin Ter 126(3): 187-94.

[PMID29805705] Kim ST et al (2018) "The impact of microsatellite instability status and sidedness of the primary tumor on the effect of bevacizumabcontaining chemotherapy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer." J Cancer 9(10): 1791-1796.

[PMID30120161] García-Alfonso P et al (2018 Nov) "Single-Agent Regorafenib in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Patients with Any RAS or BRAF Mutation Previously Treated with FOLFOXIRI plus Bevacizumab (PREVIUM Trial)." Oncologist 23(11): 1271-e128.

[PMID30865548] Innocenti F et al (2019 May 10) "Mutational Analysis of Patients With Colorectal Cancer in CALGB/SWOG 80405 Identifies New Roles of Microsatellite Instability and Tumor Mutational Burden for Patient Outcome." J Clin Oncol 37(14): 1217-1227.

[PMID30918950] Hellmann MD et al (2019 Jul 1) "Phase lb study of atezolizumab combined with cobimetinib in patients with solid tumors." Ann Oncol 30(7): 1134-1142.

[PMID31003911] Eng C et al (2019 Jun) "Atezolizumab with or without cobimetinib versus regorafenib in previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer (IMblaze370): a multicentre, open-label, phase 3, randomised, controlled trial." Lancet Oncol 20(6): 849-861.

[PMID31147488] Morse MA et al (2019 Nov) "Safety of Nivolumab plus Low-Dose Ipilimumab in Previously Treated Microsatellite Instability-High/Mismatch Repair-Deficient Metastatic Colorectal Cancer." Oncologist 24(11): 1453-1461.

[PMID31725351] Le DT et al (2020 Jan 1) "Phase II Open-Label Study of Pembrolizumab in Treatment-Refractory, Microsatellite Instability-High/Mismatch Repair-Deficient Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: KEYNOTE-164." J Clin Oncol 38(1): 11-19.

[PMID31868905] Stintzing S et al (2019 Nov 1) "Consensus molecular subgroups (CMS) of colorectal cancer (CRC) and first-line efficacy of FOLFIRI plus cetuximab or bevacizumab in the FIRE3 (AIO KRK-0306) trial." Ann Oncol 30(11): 1796-1803.

[PMID32182156] Desai J et al (2020 Jul 1) "Phase I, Open-Label, Dose-Escalation/Dose-Expansion Study of Lifirafenib (BGB-283), an RAF Family Kinase Inhibitor, in Patients With Solid Tumors." J Clin Oncol 38(19): 2140-2150.

[PMID32251400] Chalabi M et al (2020 Apr) "Neoadjuvant immunotherapy leads to pathological responses in MMR-proficient and MMR-deficient earlystage colon cancers." Nat Med 26(4): 566-576.

[PMID6092966] Seeburg PH et al (1984 Nov 1-7) "Biological properties of human c-Ha-ras1 genes mutated at codon 12." Nature 312(5989): 71-5.

b1n473chn0l0613

Inov Labs

Case ID **XB-1074**