

Patient Name not specified

Case ID **XB-1081**  In a combined analysis of two phase III trials, patients with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 mutations demonstrated worse progression-free survival and one-year overall survival on aromatase inhibitor therapy compared to estrogen inhibitor therapy. In a phase II trial, estrogen inhibitor therapy alone or in combination with PI3K inhibitor therapy showed no clinical benefit in patients with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 mutations. In a phase I trial, patients with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 mutations achieved partial responses on SERD therapy. Patients with estrogen-receptor positive/HER2-receptor negative breast cancer harboring ESR1 activating mutations match inclusion criteria for clinical trials, such as trials with selective estrogen receptor degraders. In a retrospective analysis of a phase III trial, estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer patients harboring ESR1 D538G demonstrated improved progression-free survival when treated with a combination of aromatase and MTOR inhibitors, versus aromatase inhibitor alone. In another clinical study, ESR1 D538G was associated with shorter overall survival and progression-free survival in breast cancer patients treated with aromatase inhibitors. In additional clinical studies, patients with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 D538G progressed on aromatase inhibitors, estrogen receptor modulators and inhibitors and responded to anti-PD-1 antibody therapy. Preclinical studies suggest that ESR1 D328G is associated with resistance to estrogen receptor modulators in estrogen-receptor negative breast cancer cells in culture.

no approved therapies

### 2 combination

### PIK3CA p.H1047R, ESR1 p.D538G

In a clinical study, activating ESR1 mutations (D538G or Y537S) were associated with lack of clinical benefit in patients with HR+ breast cancer harboring PIK3CA activating mutations treated with a PIK3CA inhibitor in combination with an aromatase inhibitor. In a phase Ib clinical trial, one patient with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 D538G and a PIK3CA mutation demonstrated a clinical benefit on combined aromatase and PIK3CA inhibitor therapy.

no approved therapies

variant present in combination **PIK3CA** p.H1047R

VAF 50% RD 1,749

### Tier II-C

Tier II-C

For certain patients with hormone receptor (HR)-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer harboring mutated PIK3CA, a PIK3CA inhibitor in combination with an antiestrogen is approved and recommended (FDA, Health Canada, Swissmedic, TGA, NCCN). In a phase III clinical trial, patients with HR+, HER2- breast cancer harboring PIK3CA mutations treated with this drug combination demonstrated improved progression-free survival and better overall response rate compared to antiestrogen therapy alone; and in a phase II trial, 50.4% of patients, previously treated with CDK inhibitors, experienced clinical benefit without disease progression at six months on the combination therapy. In a phase III clinical trial of patients with HR+, HER2- breast cancer treated with a CDK4/6 inhibitor in combination with an antiestrogen, PIK3CA mutations were associated with similar clinical benefit as compared to wild-type PIK3CA. In a phase II clinical trial, patients with triple-receptor negative breast cancer harboring PIK3CA activating mutations were sensitive to an AKT inhibitor in combination with chemotherapy. In phase I clinical trials, patients with breast cancer harboring PIK3CA H1047R achieved clinical benefit on PI3K and PI3K/MTOR inhibitors. In a clinical case study, one patient with ER+, PR-, HER2- breast cancer harboring PIK3CA H1047R was associated with worse overall survival in patients with breast cancer. Patients with solid tumors harboring activating PIK3CA mutations match inclusion criteria for clinical trials, such as trials with AKT inhibitors.

no approved therapies

Inov Labs

Patient Name not specified

Case ID **XB-1081**  Diagnosis Her2-receptor negative breast cancer Draft date 10/07/2020 Lab director Dr. Otto Wagner Lab# not specified

# Clinical trials from MolecularMatch

# Selected trials recruiting: Female, age ≥ 18 within 10 miles of zip code - 1100 Austria

| NCT04109066 Phase 3<br>ESR1<br>Study of Nivolumab Versus<br>Placebo in Participants With High-<br>Risk Breast Cancer                                                                                        | EUDRACT2017-<br>002961-23Phase<br>3ESR1A Multinational, Multicenter,<br>Randomized, Phase 3 Study of<br>Tesetaxel plus a Reduced Dose of<br>Capecitabine versus Capecitabine<br>Alone in Patients with HER2<br>Negative, Hormone Receptor<br>Positive, Locally Advanced or<br>Metastatic Breast Cancer<br>Previously Treated with a Taxane | NCT03905343 Phase 3<br>ESR1<br>Ribociclib-endocrine Combination<br>Therapy Versus Chemotherapy as<br>1st Line in Visceral mBC                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| NCT03734029 Phase 3<br>ESR1<br>Trastuzumab Deruxtecan (DS-<br>8201a) Versus Investigator's<br>Choice for HER2-low Breast<br>Cancer That Has Spread or<br>Cannot be Surgically Removed<br>[DESTINY-Breast04] | NCT03701334 Phase 3<br>ESR1<br>A Trial to Evaluate Efficacy and<br>Safety of Ribociclib With Endocrine<br>Therapy as Adjuvant Treatment in<br>Patients With HR+/HER2- Early<br>Breast Cancer                                                                                                                                               | EUDRACT2019-<br>002364-27Phase<br>2ESR1An open-label, two-arm,<br>randomized, single-stage phase II<br>study of ATezolizumab in<br>combination with dual HER2<br>blockade plus epirubicin as<br>NEoadjuvant therapy for HER2-<br>positive early breast cancer |
| EUDRACT2016-<br>004384-39<br>BRCA1                                                                                                                                                                          | EUDRACT2018-<br>002990-24Phase<br>3ESR1 D538G3Elacestrant Monotherapy vs.<br>Standard of Care for the<br>Treatment of Patients with<br>ER+/HER2- Advanced Breast<br>Cancer Following CDK4/6 Inhibitor<br>Therapy: A Phase 3 Randomized,<br>Open-Label, Active-Controlled,<br>Multicenter Trial (EMERALD)                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |

End of findings section

Patient Name not specified Case ID **XB-1081** 

Diagnosis Her2-receptor negative breast cancer Draft date **10/07/2020** 

# BRCA1 p.E111fs frameshift variant

Tier I-A

Variant Group BRCA1 exon 6 truncating ... BRCA1 pathogenic mutation Variant Oncogenicity Loss of function Position (GRCh38) Chr:17 Pos:43104233 Change:C>CT HGVS c.329dupA p.Glu111fs Transcript ENST00000471181.6

#### Gene clinical summary

Somatic alterations in the BRCA1 tumor suppressor, such as small deletions that cause frameshift and loss of function, are found in cancers, including colorectal, lung, breast, and ovarian cancer (<u>PMID: 27283171</u>) (<u>PMID: 27194814</u>). Germline BRCA1 alterations cause hereditary breast and ovarian cancer (<u>PMID: 27283171</u>). BRCA1-deficient cells lack HR and are sensitive to platinum chemotherapies that block replication and approved poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitors that block base excision repair. Combination therapies and other targets are under preclinical investigation to target other BRCA-deficient functions and secondary resistance, which may arise at a greater rate in repair-deficient cells (<u>PMID: 28884397</u>).

#### Variant group clinical summary

Variants in the BRCA1 gene are often of germline origin and may have implications for treatment decisions and clinical management for patients and family members; thus, germline testing should be considered in the appropriate clinical context (NCCN, ESMO). Germline pathogenic mutations in BRCA1 are associated with hereditary breast cancer (<u>PMID: 27283171</u>), (<u>PMID: 29907802</u>).

There are no therapies approved or recommended to treat patients with breast cancer harboring somatic BRCA mutations. However, the PARP inhibitors olaparib (FDA, EMA, Health Canada, Swissmedic, TGA, NCCN, ESMO, eviQ) and talazoparib (FDA, EMA, Health Canada, Swissmedic, TGA, NCCN, ESMO) are approved or recommended for certain HER2-negative breast cancer patients carrying germline pathogenic BRCA mutations.

Phase 3 clinical studies have reported improved progression-free survival (PFS) with olaparib (<u>PMID: 28578601</u>) and talazoparib (<u>PMID: 30110579</u>) treatment as compared to standard therapy in HER2-negative breast cancer patients carrying germline BRCA alterations, including patients with hormone receptor-positive and triple negative breast cancer. Subsequent analyses of these trials have reported that both olaparib (<u>PMID: 31446213</u>), (<u>PMID: 30689707</u>) and talazoparib (<u>PMID: 31767793</u>), (<u>AACR 2020; abstr CT071</u>) are associated with improved quality of life and patient reported outcomes, but do not significantly improve overall survival as compared to chemotherapy.

In a retrospective analysis, patients with either triple-receptor negative breast cancer or estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring germline BRCA1/2 mutations experienced significantly higher pathological complete response rates as compared to patients without these mutations on neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimens (paclitaxel/nonpegylated liposomal doxorubicin/carboplatin or epirubicin/paclitaxel/cyclophosphamide) (PMID: 32163106).

Patients with breast cancer harboring BRCA germline or somatic mutations match inclusion criteria for clinical trials (NCT03575065), (NCT01506609), (NCT03499353).

#### Gene biological summary

BRCA1 is a protein with many binding partners and functions in DNA repair, cell cycle regulation, transcription, and apoptosis (PMID: 28884397). BRCA1 and PALB2 recruit BRCA2 and RAD51 to double strand breaks and stalled replication forks for repair by homologous recombination (HR) (PMID: 27530658). BRCA1 plays a role in G2/M, G2 accumulation, and S phase cell cycle checkpoints (PMID: 28884397) and appears to play a role in DNA replication at R-loops (PMID: 27530658). BRCA1 also forms a complex with BARD1 which has E3 ubiquitin ligase activity (PMID: 28884397). The important domains in BRCA1 include the RING domain (residues 24-65) and two BRCT domains (residues 1642-1736 and 1756-1855) (PMID: 28884397) (Uniprot.org).

### Variant group functional summary

BRCA1 germline pathogenic mutations are deleterious mutations that have been classified by the ENIGMA Consortium as pathogenic (class 5) or likely pathogenic (class 4) (<u>ClinVar</u>) (<u>ENIGMA BRCA1/2 Gene Variant Classification Criteria</u>, <u>June 2017</u>). Additionally, this group includes truncating mutations that are predicted to have a loss of function due to the disruption or deletion of key functional domains, and are typically regarded as pathogenic (<u>PMID: 20516115</u>), (<u>PMID: 16618730</u>), (<u>PMID: 29446198</u>). Further analysis is warranted to determine the possible germline nature of these mutations if detected in the context of a somatic NGS test.

### ESR1 p.D538G missense variant

Tier I-B

| Variant Group<br>ESR1 activating mutation | Variant Oncogenicity<br>Gain of function | Position (GRCh38)<br>Chr:6<br>Pos:152098791<br>Change:A>G | HGVS<br>c.1613A>G<br>p.Asp538Gly | Transcript<br>ENST00000206249.7 |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                           |                                          | Change:A>G                                                |                                  |                                 |

### Gene clinical summary

Alterations in ESR1 have been identified in cancers, including breast cancer. Gain-of-function mutations in the ligand binding domain commonly arise in ER+ breast cancer and lead to constitutive ER activation and acquired resistance to endocrine therapies (PMID: 28374222). Next-generation anti-estrogen therapies are under clinical and preclinical investigation for ESR1-mutated breast cancer (PMID: 26183887).

#### Variant clinical summary

In a combined analysis of two phase III trials, patients with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 mutations demonstrated worse progression-free survival (2.4 vs 3.9 months; p=0.01) and one-year overall survival (62% vs 80%; p=0.04) on exemestane therapy (n=42) compared to fulvestrant therapy (n=73) (<u>PMID: 32546646</u>). In a phase II trial, fulvestrant treatment resulted in similar progression free survival in patients with ER positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 mutations and those who were ESR1 wild-type, and fulvestrant and pictilisib combination treatment did not improve progression free survival compared to fulvestrant alone (<u>PMID: 27174596</u>). In a phase I trial, 17 patients with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 mutations and those who were ESR1 wild-type, and fulvestrant and pictilisib combination treatment did not improve progression free survival compared to fulvestrant alone (<u>PMID: 27174596</u>). In a phase I trial, 17 patients with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 mutations achieved a partial response on the selective estrogen receptor degrader (SERD) elacestrant (<u>J Clin Oncol 35, 2017 (suppl; abstr 1014</u>)).

Patients with estrogen-receptor positive/HER2-receptor negative breast cancer harboring ESR1 activating mutations match inclusion criteria for clinical trials, such as trials with selective estrogen receptor degraders (NCT01823835).

Inov Labs

Patient Name not specified

Case ID **XB-1081**  Diagnosis Her2-receptor negative breast cancer Draft date 10/07/2020 Lab director Dr. Otto Wagner Lab# not specified

In a retrospective analysis of a phase III trial, estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer patients harboring ESR1 D538G demonstrated improved progression-free survival when treated with a combination of exemestane and everolimus versus exemestane alone (5.8 vs 2.7 months) (PMID: - 1 26681738).

In clinical studies of patients with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 D538G, one achieved stable disease on pembrolizumab (<u>PMID: 28945887</u>), one progressed on letrozole and palbociclib (<u>PMID: 28945887</u>), and one progressed on exemestane (<u>PMID: 28945887</u>). ESR1 D538G was found as a secondary mutation in five patients with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer after progression on anastrozole or letrozole (<u>PMID: 25994408</u>) (<u>PMID: 28283903</u>) (<u>PMID: 28945887</u>), and in two patients after progression on fulvestrant (<u>PMID: 26243651</u>) (<u>PMID: 28283903</u>). However, another patient showed a response on fulvestrant (<u>PMID: 28945887</u>).

In a retrospective analysis of metastatic breast cancer patients treated with aromatase inhibitors, ESR1 D538G (n=114) was associated with shorter overall survival (OS) (OS=25.99 months) and progression free survival (PFS) (PFS=2.69 months, HR=1.71) compared to wild-type ESR1 (OS=32.1 months and PFS=3.94) (<u>PMID: 27532364</u>). In a clinical study analyzing the ESR1 mutations in CTCs and matched cfDNA of a cohort of metastatic breast cancer patients who progressed after endocrine therapy, ESR1 D538G was detected in the CTCs and cfDNA of one patient with metastatic breast cancer who progressed on tamoxifen and two patients with metastatic breast cancer who progressed on fulvestrant (<u>PMID: 29063679</u>).

In preclinical studies, estrogen-receptor negative breast cancer cells transiently expressing ESR1 D538G demonstrated resistance to 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen and fulvestrant (PMID: 24185512) (PMID: 24217577).

### Variant group clinical summary

In a combined analysis of two phase III trials, patients with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 mutations demonstrated worse progression-free survival (2.4 vs 3.9 months; p=0.01) and one-year overall survival (62% vs 80%; p=0.04) on exemestane therapy (n=42) compared to fulvestrant therapy (n=73) (<u>PMID: 32546646</u>). In a phase II trial, fulvestrant treatment resulted in similar progression free survival in patients with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 mutations and those who were ESR1 wild-type, and fulvestrant and pictilisib combination treatment did not improve progression free survival compared to fulvestrant alone (<u>PMID: 27174596</u>). In a phase I trial, 17 patients with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 mutations achieved a partial response on the selective estrogen receptor degrader (SERD) elacestrant (J Clin Oncol 35, 2017 (suppl; abstr 1014)).

Patients with estrogen-receptor positive/HER2-receptor negative breast cancer harboring ESR1 activating mutations match inclusion criteria for clinical trials, such as trials with selective estrogen receptor degraders (NCT01823835).

#### Gene biological summary

ESR1 encodes estrogen receptor-a (ERa), which localizes to the nucleus upon estrogen ligand binding, where it recruits binding partners and acts as a transcription factor for genes involved in cell growth and differentiation, especially in mammary tissue. Important domains in ESR1 include the ligand binding domain (residues 302-595), the transcription activation function 1 domain (residues 1-180), the DNA-binding domain (residues 180-263), the hinge domain (residues 263-302), and the ligand-binding domain (residues 311-547) (Uniprot.org) (PMID: 26183887).

#### Variant functional summary

ESR1 D538G lies within the ligand-binding domain of ESR1 (<u>PMID: 26183887</u>). This mutation results in ligand-independent constitutive transcriptional activity, is transforming in culture, and promotes tumor formation in mouse models (<u>PMID: 24185512</u>), (<u>PMID: 24185510</u>), (<u>PMID: 24217577</u>), (<u>PMID: 24398047</u>), (<u>PMID: 27472462</u>), (<u>PMID: 27986707</u>).

### Variant group functional summary

ESR1 activating mutations confer a gain of function on the ESR1 protein leading to constitutive estrogen receptor activation, as demonstrated via biochemical, in vitro, or in vivo assays (PMID: 28374222).

### **PIK3CA** p.H1047R, **ESR1** p.D538G

Tier II-C

### Variant combination clinical summary

In a clinical study, activating ESR1 mutations (D538G or Y537S, n=6) were associated with lack of clinical benefit in patients with HR+ breast cancer harboring PIK3CA activating mutations treated with alpelisib in combination with an aromatase inhibitor (letrozole or exemestane) (<u>Nat Cancer 1</u>, <u>382–393</u>). However, in a phase lb clinical trial, one patient with estrogen-receptor positive breast cancer harboring ESR1 D538G and a PIK3CA mutation demonstrated a clinical benefit when treated with the combination of letrozole and alpelisib (<u>PMID: 27126994</u>).

### Variant combination functional summary

Activating mutations in PIK3CA confer a gain of function to the PIK3CA protein, which has been verified via biochemical, in vitro, or in vivo assays (PMID: 18079394).

ESR1 D538G lies within the ligand-binding domain of ESR1 (<u>PMID: 26183887</u>). This mutation results in ligand-independent constitutive transcriptional activity, is transforming in culture, and promotes tumor formation in mouse models (<u>PMID: 24185512</u>), (<u>PMID: 24185510</u>), (<u>PMID: 24217577</u>), (<u>PMID: 24398047</u>), (<u>PMID: 27472462</u>), (<u>PMID: 27986707</u>).

### PIK3CA p.H1047R missense variant

Tier II-C

Variant Group PIK3CA activating mutation PIK3CA codon 1047 mutat... Variant Oncogenicity Gain of function Position (GRCh38) Chr:3 Pos:179234297 Change:A>G HGVS c.3140A>G p.His1047Arg Transcript ENST00000263967.3

#### Gene clinical summary

Oncogenic alterations in PIK3CA are found in cancers, including breast, colorectal, and liver cancer (<u>PMID: 19305151</u>). Amplifications and activating missense mutations in the kinase or helical domain typically affect either the catalytic activity of the protein or its regulation by binding partner p85, resulting in constitutive activation of the PI3K/AKT/MTOR pathway (<u>PMID: 18079394</u>). Approved and emerging PI3K, AKT, and MTOR inhibitors target the PI3K pathway (<u>PMID: 27242542</u>). Dual PIK3CA/MTOR inhibitors and combinations of PI3K and RAS pathway inhibitors are under clinical evaluation in PIK3CA-mutated cancers (<u>PMID: 27915478</u>).

Inov Labs

Patient Name not specified Case ID **XB-1081**  Diagnosis Her2-receptor negative breast cancer Draft date 10/07/2020

Lab director Dr. Otto Wagner Lab# not specified

### Variant clinical summary

For certain patients with hormone receptor (HR)-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer harboring mutated PIK3CA, alpelisib in combination with fulvestrant is approved and recommended (FDA, Health Canada, Swissmedic, TGA, NCCN).

In a phase III clinical trial, patients with HR+, HER2- breast cancer harboring PIK3CA mutations treated with alpelisib and fulvestrant demonstrated improved progression-free survival and better overall response rate compared to fulvestrant alone (<u>PMID: 31091374</u>)(<u>NCT02437318</u>); and in a phase II trial, 50.4% (61/121) of patients, previously treated with CDK inhibitors, experienced clinical benefit without disease progression at six months on alpelisib and fulvestrant (<u>J Clin Oncol 38(15): 1006)</u> (<u>NCT03056755</u>). In a phase III clinical trial of patients with HR+, HER2- breast cancer treated with abemaciclib and fulvestrant, PIK3CA mutations (E542K, E545K, H1047L, or H1047R) were associated with similar clinical benefit as compared to wild-type PIK3CA (<u>AACR 2020: Abstract 766</u>). In a phase II trial, the AKT inhibitor ipatasertib in combination with the chemotherapy paclitaxel resulted in improved progression free survival (6.2 vs 4.9 months) compared to placebo in triple-receptor negative breast cancer patients harboring mutations in PIK3CA, AKT1, or PTEN (<u>J Clin Oncol 35(15 Suppl</u>): 1009-1009).

In a phase I trial, four patients with breast cancer harboring PIK3CA H1047R achieved a partial response on the PI3K inhibitor taselisib (<u>PMID:</u> <u>28331003</u>). In another phase I trial, one patient with advanced hormone-receptor positive, HER2-receptor negative breast cancer achieved a partial response on the PI3K/MTOR inhibitor LY3023414 (<u>J Clin Oncol 35(15 Suppl</u>): <u>1064-1064</u>). In another phase I trial, one patient with advanced hormonereceptor positive, HER2-receptor negative breast cancer harboring PIK3CA H1047R achieved a partial response on the PI3K/MTOR inhibitor LY3023414 (<u>Journal of Clinical Oncology 35, no. 15\_suppl (May 20 2017) 1064-1064</u>). In a clinical case study, one patient with ER+, PR-, HER2- breast cancer harboring PIK3CA H1047R achieved clinical benefit on copanlisib and fulvestrant combination therapy (<u>JCO Prec Oncology 4: 335-340</u>).

In a study of 241 breast cancer patients, PIK3CA H1047R (n = 10) was associated with worse overall survival (P = 0.0083) compared to patients without PIK3CA mutations (PMID: 24471188).

Patients with solid tumors harboring activating PIK3CA mutations match inclusion criteria for clinical trials, such as trials with AKT inhibitors (NCT02761694).

### Variant group clinical summary

In a phase III trial, patients with ER-positive, ERBB2-negative breast cancer harboring PIK3CA mutations demonstrated clinical benefit (improved progression-free survival and objective response rate) on taselisib in combination with fulvestrant (J Clin Oncol 36, 2018 (suppl; abstr LBA1006).

In a phase II trial, PIK3CA-mutant ER-positive, ERBB2-negative breast cancer patients had a higher overall response rate with the combination of taselisib and letrozole (41 of 73 patients responded) compared to those treated with letrozole and placebo (30 of 79 patients) (<u>PMID: 31402321</u>). In a phase II trial, the AKT inhibitor ipatasertib in combination with chemotherapy resulted in improved progression free survival (6.2 vs 4.9 months) compared to placebo in triple-receptor negative breast cancer patients harboring mutations in PIK3CA, AKT1, or PTEN (J Clin Oncol 35, 2017 (suppl; abstr 1009)). In a phase II trial, the AKT inhibitor capivasertib in combination with chemotherapy improved median progression-free survival (9.3 vs 3.7 months, HR=0.30, p=0.01) compared to placebo in patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer harboring PIK3CA, AKT1, and/or PTEN with a phase II trial, 50.4% (61/121) of patients with ER-positive, ERBB2-negative breast cancer harboring PIK3CA amutations, previously treated with CDK inhibitors, experienced clinical benefit without disease progression at six months on alpelisib and fulvestrant combination therapy (J Clin Oncol 38(15): 1006) (NCT03056755).

In clinical trials, ERBB2-positive breast cancer patients harboring PIK3CA mutations demonstrated lower pathologic complete remission rate compared to those with wild-type PIK3CA after trastuzumab and lapatinib combination or single-agent therapy (PMID: 26245675)(PMID: 28177460).

Patients with solid tumors harboring activating PI3KCA mutations match inclusion criteria for clinical trials, such as trials with AKT inhibitors (NCT02761694).

Patients with solid tumors harboring PIK3CA codon 1047 mutations match inclusion criteria for clinical trials, such as trials with CDK4/6 and PI3K/MTOR inhibitors (NCT03065062).

### Gene biological summary

PIK3CA encodes the p110a catalytic subunit of the heterodimeric PI3K complex (PMID: 18794884). Activated receptor tyrosine kinases recruit PI3K and activate the PI3K/AKT/MTOR pathway to regulate growth, proliferation, autophagy, and survival (PMID: 18767981). Important domains in PIK3CA include the helical domain (residues 525-696), the kinase domain (residues 697-1068), the adaptor-binding domain (residues 1-108), the RAS-binding domain (residues 191-291), and the C2 domain (residues 328-480) (PMID: 18079394) (Uniprot.org).

#### Variant functional summary

PIK3CA H1047R is a hotspot mutation that lies within the kinase domain of the PIK3CA protein (UniProt.org). This mutation results in increased phosphorylation of AKT and MEK1/2, growth factor-independent cell survival, and is transforming in cell culture (PMID: 26627007).

### Variant group functional summary

Activating mutations in PIK3CA confer a gain of function to the PIK3CA protein, which has been verified via biochemical, in vitro, or in vivo assays (PMID: 18079394).

PIK3CA codon 1047 mutations are missense substitutions at residue 1047 of the PIK3CA protein. These hotspot mutations result in increased PIK3CA kinase activity and have transforming activity in cell culture (PMID: 15930273).

### Variants of Unknown Significance

The variants listed here are not sufficiently characterized in the current literature and variant databases, and are therefore, currently, of uncertain or unknown clinical significance. They are reported here for future reference in the event they become clinically significant in light of additional supporting evidence.

**TP53** p.E286G

# Appendix

Inov Labs

Case ID **XB-1081**  Draft date 10/07/2020

# **Genomic Regions Tested**

We sequence all coding exons for each given transcript, plus approximately 10 basepairs of flanking non-coding DNA in each intron-exon junction. Unless specifically indicated, test results contain no information about other regions of the gene, such as regulatory domains or deep intronic regions. The genes on the panel: ABL1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, APC, AR, ATM, BAP1, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CCND1, CCNE1, CDH1, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CHEK2, CREBBP, CSF1R, CTNNB1, DDR2, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FANCA, FBXW7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT1, FLT3, FLT4, GNA11, GNA0, GNAS, HNF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KRAS, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MDM2, MDM4, MET, MLH1, MPL, MSH2, MSH6, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NPM1, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK2, PALB2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PIK3R1, PMS2, POLE, PPP2R1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, RAF1, RB1, RET, ROS1, SMAD4, SMARCB1, SM0, SRC, STK11, TERT (including 454 bases upstream of translation start site), TP53, TSC1, TSC2, UGTA1A1, VHL.

LIMIT OF DETECTION: 5 percent variant allele fraction for single nucleotide variants (SNVs), small to medium sized multi-nucleotide variants (MNV) (less than 50bp).

# Methodology

Genomic DNA is isolated from microscopically-guided dissection of tumor tissue and then enriched for the targeted regions of the tested genes. The variant status of the targeted genes is determined by massively parallel sequencing (next generation sequencing). The hg38 (GRCh38) reference sequence is used as a reference for identifying genetic variants.

## Limitations

This test will not detect variants in areas outside the targeted genomic regions or below the assay's limit of detection. More complex structural variants, such as complex rearrangements, will not be detected. This test evaluates for variants in solid tumor tissue only. It cannot distinguish between somatic and germline variants.

For variants of potential germline origin, germline testing may be warranted. Consider seeking genetic counseling prior to such testing. In some cases, variants may not be identified due to technical limitations, especially when in the presence of known pseudogenes, homologous regions or regions of low mappability. Larger insertions or deletions (>50 basepairs) may not be detected.

# **Clinical Disclaimers**

Interpretation of the test results is limited by the information that is currently available at the time. Treatment decisions are the responsibility of the physician. Results of this test must always be interpreted within the clinical context, such as the patient's conditions, patient and family history, physical examinations, information from other diagnostic tests and patient preferences.

The Inov Onco Panel was developed and its performance characteristics determined by Inov Labs.

# NAVIFY® Mutation Profiler disclaimer

The information available in this report is obtained from third party sources (such as biomedical literature, medical guidelines, and publicly available data such as drug labels and clinical trials) and is subject to change over time based on future findings (including scientific and medical research).

NAVIFY® Mutation Profiler is not able to differentiate between germline and somatic variants. In general, variant interpretations are provided assuming the variants are of somatic origin.

# **3rd party attributions**

A portion of the somatic gene variant annotations and related content have been provided by The Jackson Laboratory Clinical Knowledgebase (JAX-CKB<sup>™</sup>)

© National Comprehensive Cancer Network 2018, All Rights Reserved. NATIONAL COMPREHENSIVE CANCER NETWORK®, NCCN®, NCCN GUIDELINES®, NCCN COMPENDIUM®, NCCN TEMPLATES®, NCCN FLASH UPDATES™, NCCN GUIDELINES FOR PATIENTS® and POWERED BY NCCN® are trademarks of National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc.

Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®). To view the most recent and complete version of the guidelines, go online to NCCN.org. NCCN makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

The NCCN Guidelines® and other content provided by NCCN are works in progress that may be refined as often as new significant data becomes available. They are statements of consensus of its authors regarding their views of currently accepted approaches to treatment. Any clinician seeking to apply or consult any NCCN Guidelines® or other NCCN Content is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine any patient's care or treatment. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network makes no warranties of any kind whatsoever regarding their content, use or application and disclaims any responsibility for their application or use in any way.

Clinical trial matching based on reported biomarkers are provided by MolecularMatch.

# Tier definitions

Tier I-A: Approved therapy. Included in professional guidelines.

Tier I-B: Well-powered studies with consensus from experts in the field.

Inov Labs

Patient Name

Case ID **XB-1081**  Diagnosis Her2-receptor negative breast cancer Draft date 10/07/2020

Lab director Dr. Otto Wagner Lab# not specified

Tier II-C: Approved therapies for different tumor types or investigational therapies. Multiple small published studies with some consensus. Inclusion criteria for clinical trials.

Tier II-D: Limited clinical or preclinical studies.

Tier III (VUS): Variants of Unknown Clinical Significance.

Tier IV: Benign or likely benign variants (not included in the report, except for other biomarkers).

### Software and content version numbers

NAVIFY® Mutation Profiler Version 2.0.0.7b4557e, Release date: 09/30/2020

NAVIFY® Therapy Matcher Version 2.0.0.7b4557e, Release date: 09/30/2020

Roche content Version 2.29.0, Release date: 08/18/2020

CIVIC Version 01-july-2020, Release date: 07/01/2020

ClinVAR Version 20200727, Release date: 07/27/2020

COSMIC Version v91.r1, Release date: 04/07/2020

dbNSFP Version 4.0, Release date: 05/03/2019

gnomAD Version 2.1.1-VnV, Release date: 10/16/2019

TCGA Version 24.0.r2, Release date: 05/07/2020

Mitelman Version 15-apr-2020, Release date: 04/15/2020

dbVar Version 2020-06-07, Release date: 06/07/2020

### References

[PMID15930273] Ikenoue T et al (2005 Jun 1) "Functional analysis of PIK3CA gene mutations in human colorectal cancer." Cancer Res 65(11): 4562-7.

[PMID16618730] Sankaran S et al (2006 Apr 15) "Identification of domains of BRCA1 critical for the ubiquitin-dependent inhibition of centrosome function." Cancer Res 66(8): 4100-7.

[PMID18079394] Huang CH et al (2007 Dec 14) "The structure of a human p110alpha/p85alpha complex elucidates the effects of oncogenic PI3Kalpha mutations." Science 318(5857): 1744-8.

[PMID18767981] Chalhoub N et al (2009) "PTEN and the PI3-kinase pathway in cancer." Annu Rev Pathol 4: 127-50.

[PMID18794884] Yuan TL et al (2008 Sep 18) "PI3K pathway alterations in cancer: variations on a theme." Oncogene 27(41): 5497-510.

[PMID19305151] Ligresti G et al (2009 May 1) "PIK3CA mutations in human solid tumors: role in sensitivity to various therapeutic approaches." Cell Cycle 8(9): 1352-8.

[PMID20516115] Lee MS et al (2010 Jun 15) "Comprehensive analysis of missense variations in the BRCT domain of BRCA1 by structural and functional assays." Cancer Res 70(12): 4880-90.

[PMID24185510] Robinson DR et al (2013 Dec) "Activating ESR1 mutations in hormone-resistant metastatic breast cancer." Nat Genet 45(12): 1446-51.

[PMID24185512] Toy W et al (2013 Dec) "ESR1 ligand-binding domain mutations in hormone-resistant breast cancer." Nat Genet 45(12): 1439-45.

[PMID24217577] Merenbakh-Lamin K et al (2013 Dec 1) "D538G mutation in estrogen receptor-a: A novel mechanism for acquired endocrine resistance in breast cancer." Cancer Res 73(23): 6856-64.

[PMID24398047] Jeselsohn R et al (2014 Apr 1) "Emergence of constitutively active estrogen receptor-α mutations in pretreated advanced estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer." Clin Cancer Res 20(7): 1757-1767.

[PMID24471188] Arsenic R et al (2014 Jan) "Analysis of PIK3CA mutations in breast cancer subtypes." Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 22(1): 50-6.

[PMID25994408] Sefrioui D et al (2015 Nov 15) "Short report: Monitoring ESR1 mutations by circulating tumor DNA in aromatase inhibitor resistant metastatic breast cancer." Int J Cancer 137(10): 2513-9.

[PMID26183887] Thomas C et al (2015 Sep) "Estrogen receptor mutations and functional consequences for breast cancer." Trends Endocrinol Metab 26(9): 467-76.

[PMID26243651] Parker BA et al (2015 Nov) "Breast Cancer Experience of the Molecular Tumor Board at the University of California, San Diego Moores Cancer Center." J Oncol Pract 11(6): 442-9.

[PMID26245675] Guarneri V et al (2015 Sep) "Prospective Biomarker Analysis of the Randomized CHER-LOB Study Evaluating the Dual Anti-HER2 Treatment With Trastuzumab and Lapatinib Plus Chemotherapy as Neoadjuvant Therapy for HER2-Positive Breast Cancer." Oncologist 20(9): 1001-

Inov Labs

10.

[PMID26627007] Dogruluk T et al (2015 Dec 15) "Identification of Variant-Specific Functions of PIK3CA by Rapid Phenotyping of Rare Mutations." Cancer Res 75(24): 5341-54.

[PMID26681738] N/A (2016 Feb) "ESR1 Mutations Prevalent in Some Breast Cancers." Cancer Discov 6(2): 114-5.

[PMID27126994] Mayer IA et al (2017 Jan 1) "A Phase lb Study of Alpelisib (BYL719), a PI3Kα-Specific Inhibitor, with Letrozole in ER+/HER2- Metastatic Breast Cancer." Clin Cancer Res 23(1): 26-34.

[PMID27174596] Spoerke JM et al (2016 May 13) "Heterogeneity and clinical significance of ESR1 mutations in ER-positive metastatic breast cancer patients receiving fulvestrant." Nat Commun 7: 11579.

[PMID27194814] Winter C et al (2016 Aug) "Targeted sequencing of BRCA1 and BRCA2 across a large unselected breast cancer cohort suggests that one-third of mutations are somatic." Ann Oncol 27(8): 1532-8.

[PMID27242542] Kim HJ et al (2016) "The Inositide Signaling Pathway As a Target for Treating Gastric Cancer and Colorectal Cancer." Front Physiol 7: 168.

[PMID27283171] Jain R et al (2016 Jun) "The Relevance of Hereditary Cancer Risks to Precision Oncology: What Should Providers Consider When Conducting Tumor Genomic Profiling?" J Natl Compr Canc Netw 14(6): 795-806.

[PMID27472462] Ladd B et al (2016 Aug 23) "Effective combination therapies in preclinical endocrine resistant breast cancer models harboring ER mutations." Oncotarget 7(34): 54120-54136.

[PMID27530658] Fradet-Turcotte A et al (2016 Oct) "BRCA2 functions: from DNA repair to replication fork stabilization." Endocr Relat Cancer 23(10): T1-T17.

[PMID27532364] Chandarlapaty S et al (2016 Oct 1) "Prevalence of ESR1 Mutations in Cell-Free DNA and Outcomes in Metastatic Breast Cancer: A Secondary Analysis of the BOLERO-2 Clinical Trial." JAMA Oncol 2(10): 1310-1315.

[PMID27915478] Tran P et al (2016 Nov) "Targeting the Phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase Pathway in Gastric Cancer: Can Omics Improve Outcomes?" Int Neurourol J 20(Suppl 2): S131-140.

[PMID27986707] Toy W et al (2017 Mar) "Activating <i>ESR1</i> Mutations Differentially Affect the Efficacy of ER Antagonists." Cancer Discov 7(3): 277-287.

[PMID28177460] Shi W et al (2017 Jan 1) "Pathway level alterations rather than mutations in single genes predict response to HER2-targeted therapies in the neo-ALTTO trial." Ann Oncol 28(1): 128-135.

[PMID28283903] Yanagawa T et al (2017 Jun) "Detection of ESR1 mutations in plasma and tumors from metastatic breast cancer patients using next-generation sequencing." Breast Cancer Res Treat 163(2): 231-240.

[PMID28331003] Juric D et al (2017 Jul) "Phase I Dose-Escalation Study of Taselisib, an Oral PI3K Inhibitor, in Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors." Cancer Discov 7(7): 704-715.

[PMID28374222] Jeselsohn R et al (2017 May) "The Evolving Role of the Estrogen Receptor Mutations in Endocrine Therapy-Resistant Breast Cancer." Curr Oncol Rep 19(5): 35.

[PMID28578601] Robson M et al (2017 Aug 10) "Olaparib for Metastatic Breast Cancer in Patients with a Germline BRCA Mutation." N Engl J Med 377(6): 523-533.

[PMID28884397] Takaoka M et al (2018 Feb) "BRCA1 gene: function and deficiency." Int J Clin Oncol 23(1): 36-44.

[PMID28945887] Chung JH et al (2017 Nov 1) "Hybrid capture-based genomic profiling of circulating tumor DNA from patients with estrogen receptorpositive metastatic breast cancer." Ann Oncol 28(11): 2866-2873.

[PMID29063679] Beije N et al (2018 Jan) "Estrogen receptor mutations and splice variants determined in liquid biopsies from metastatic breast cancer patients." Mol Oncol 12(1): 48-57.

[PMID29446198] Rebbeck TR et al (2018 May) "Mutational spectrum in a worldwide study of 29,700 families with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations." Hum Mutat 39(5): 593-620.

[PMID29907802] Green RF et al (2019 Jan) "Evaluating the role of public health in implementation of genomics-related recommendations: a case study of hereditary cancers using the CDC Science Impact Framework." Genet Med 21(1): 28-37.

[PMID30110579] Litton JK et al (2018 Aug 23) "Talazoparib in Patients with Advanced Breast Cancer and a Germline BRCA Mutation." N Engl J Med 379(8): 753-763.

[PMID30689707] Robson ME et al (2019 Apr 1) "OlympiAD final overall survival and tolerability results: Olaparib versus chemotherapy treatment of physician's choice in patients with a germline BRCA mutation and HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer." Ann Oncol 30(4): 558-566.

[PMID31091374] André F et al (2019 May 16) "Alpelisib for <i>PIK3CA</i>Mutated, Hormone Receptor-Positive Advanced Breast Cancer." N Engl J Med 380(20): 1929-1940.

[PMID31402321] Saura C et al (2019 Sep) "Neoadjuvant letrozole plus taselisib versus letrozole plus placebo in postmenopausal women with oestrogen receptor-positive, HER2-negative, early-stage breast cancer (LORELEI): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial." Lancet Oncol 20(9): 1226-1238.

[PMID31446213] Robson M et al (2019 Oct) "Patient-reported outcomes in patients with a germline BRCA mutation and HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer receiving olaparib versus chemotherapy in the OlympiAD trial." Eur J Cancer 120: 20-30.

Inov Labs

Case ID **XB-1081**  Diagnosis Her2-receptor negative breast cancer Draft date 10/07/2020

[PMID31767793] Hurvitz SA et al (2019 Nov 25) "Talazoparib in Patients with a Germline <i>BRCA</i>Mutated Advanced Breast Cancer: Detailed Safety Analyses from the Phase III EMBRACA Trial." Oncologist .

[PMID31841354] Schmid P et al (2020 Feb 10) "Capivasertib Plus Paclitaxel Versus Placebo Plus Paclitaxel As First-Line Therapy for Metastatic Triple-Negative Breast Cancer: The PAKT Trial." J Clin Oncol 38(5): 423-433.

[PMID32163106] Pohl-Rescigno E et al (2020 Mar 12) "Association of Germline Variant Status With Therapy Response in High-risk Early-Stage Breast Cancer: A Secondary Analysis of the GeparOcto Randomized Clinical Trial." JAMA Oncol .

[PMID32546646] Turner NC et al (2020 Jun 16) "ESR1 mutations and overall survival on fulvestrant versus exemestane in advanced hormone receptor positive breast cancer: A combined analysis of the phase III SoFEA and EFECT trials." Clin Cancer Res .

o1n473chn0l0613

Inov Labs

Case ID **XB-1081**